

Freemasonry and the British Monarchy: Why the Queen of England Pretends to be a Christian

by Jeremy James



A single bloodline connects the royal families of Europe. They see themselves as an exclusive and superior breed – genetically, morally and intellectually. For centuries they have used wars, economic sabotage and extensive political intrigue to advance their cause. Now that a federal Europe is finally taking shape, they are anxious to extend their reach even further and create a New World Order.

They are far closer to achieving this aim than most of us would imagine. The groundwork was laid in the 17th century. By creating two global super-powers – first the British Empire and then the United States of America – they were able to mould large parts of the world in accordance with their totalitarian doctrine. Through their world-wide system of secret societies, business monopolies and family connections, as well as their stranglehold on the international banking system, they have been able to infiltrate the political structure of virtually every country in the world and install a client or puppet regime of one kind or another. Democracies do not impede their activities in any significant way since each democracy, whether large or small, is really a system of rotating puppets. In fact, democracies are often their preferred system of governance since they reinforce the illusion that a free and popular consensus is directing each country.

World empire by stealth

Using these invisible levers of power, the Elite have been able to play one country against another and bring about further change, all in conformity with their long-term plan to establish a One World Government. For example, they created the state of modern China by subjecting her various provinces to over one hundred years of drug trafficking, criminal intrigue, military invasion, widespread famine and civil war, with the result that today, after tens of millions of innocent people have been murdered, and many more imprisoned and tortured, they have an obedient serf economy which they are now using to destroy America. Nearly half of American industry has been 'outsourced' (given away) over the past 30 years, most of it to the totalitarian, Marxist police-state known as China. This enables the Elite to undercut wages in each sector to such a degree that American industry simply cannot compete. And when a nation can't compete commercially with her principal rival and potential enemy, she is well on the road to destruction.

This paper is not about the coming collapse of the United States, or even the confederacy of super-rich families which control the world, but merely the religious affiliation of just one member of one super-rich family – Queen Elizabeth II of England. While this may seem a very modest topic by comparison, it actually sheds a great deal of light on the Ruling Elite, their disturbing agenda, and their remarkable capacity for duplicity and deception.



The Queen as Supreme Governor of the Church of England

The Elite are not atheists or agnostics, as they often pretend. Neither are they Christian, as some of their most prominent members would have us believe. Rather, they are profoundly committed to the *old religion*. This is the ancient system of pantheism, gnosticism and idolatry which rejects the LORD God of the Bible and is generally identified in the public mind with the worship of pagan deities. In short, the people who rule this world are not simply indifferent to Christianity, but reject it completely.

Officially, the Queen is a member of the Church of England, an Anglican convocation similar to the Lutheran church in Germany or the Episcopalian in the United States. She was baptized at five weeks by the Archbishop of York in Buckingham Palace on 26 May, 1926. Under British law, as reigning monarch, she is the Supreme Governor of the Church of England. All members of the British royal family are officially affiliated with the Anglican Church, but not one of them has ever been known to testify to the atoning power of the blood of Christ. Indeed, it is doubtful whether any influential member of the British ruling class is a Bible-believing Christian.

The Anglican Church is the official state religion or *Established Church* of England. Having been under the covert control of the ruling elite almost from its inception, it is the principal means by which true Christianity in England has been suppressed. Through its empty formalism and shallow doctrines, its seasonal ritual and genteel pageantry, it offers a comforting substitute for true Biblical Christianity. In order to keep the commoners and lower classes in thrall, the nobility themselves profess to be Anglican and participate in certain annual services.

Behind the scenes, however, the ruling elite have for centuries been freemasons, atheists or unitarians. They all reject the deity of Christ. The Anglican church has long been primarily a system of social control whereby the rich and powerful maintain their privileged positions and at the same time imbue the masses with the mindless patriotism that is needed to run an Empire.

Paganism and the Royal Family

Prince Philip, the husband of Queen Elizabeth, was initiated into Freemasonry at Navy Lodge No 2612, London, on December 5, 1952: “Present at the initiation were the Earl of Scarbrough, grand master...and Geoffrey Fisher, archbishop of Canterbury. The lodge has many ties with the royal family as King Edward VII served as its first master in 1896 when he was Prince of Wales. King George VI...served as master when he was Duke of York. In 1928 H.R.H. Duke of Kent...was master and later became grand master of the Grand Lodge of England.” – William Denslow, *10,000 Famous Freemasons*, 1957.

There is more than enough information on record to prove beyond all doubt that the British royal family is not Christian but pagan. The Prince of Wales – the Queen’s eldest son – is a well-known advocate of New Age philosophy and paranormal science. Numerous members of the royal family down the years, including Queen Victoria, attended séances where professional mediums channeled ‘messages’ from a demonic source. They have also availed routinely of the services of psychic healers and paranormal advisors. Prince Charles himself was counselled for many years by the shamanic fantasist, Laurens van der Post.

We will shortly demonstrate that the Queen too is a non-believer. Her membership of the Anglican church is immaterial. What matters is whether or not she openly professes that Jesus Christ is the Son of God and that she has accepted him into her heart as Lord and Saviour. The evidence clearly shows that she has not.

It may seem strange that the official head of the Church of England is a not a Christian, but it is doubtful whether *any* senior cleric in the Church of England is a Christian. It is virtually impossible to advance into a position of influence in the English system of social-political control without being a Freemason, a Unitarian or someone who has otherwise demonstrated his or her rejection of both the deity of Christ and the authority of Scripture. There are bound to be exceptions, of course, but they are few and have little practical bearing on how the system operates. For example, we have already noted that the Archbishop of Canterbury was present at the induction of Prince Philip into Freemasonry, while the current incumbent, Rowan Williams – like each of his predecessors during the 20th century – rejects the account of Creation given in the Bible, and has even expressed the view that it should not be taught in schools as an alternative to Evolution.

In Her Own Words

Instead of drawing on secondary sources or informed reports, we will confine ourselves to the Queen’s own words. Among the best primary sources of evidence about her real beliefs is the set of Christmas Messages that she has delivered annually since her coronation in 1952.

Given that these messages are delivered on a major feast day in the Christian calendar, by a professing Christian, to a primarily Christian audience, one would expect at least *some* of them to give a clear endorsement of her Christian faith. There are 59 messages in all, comprising a total of 43,000 words, and yet nowhere in any of them do we find a clear reference to the deity of Christ. In fact, as we shall shortly see, we find the very opposite, namely a consistent portrayal of Jesus as nothing more than a well-meaning, peace-loving idealist who wanted to make this world a better place.

It is truly remarkable that, over a sixty year period, the official head of the Anglican Church has failed to make even a single statement that would demonstrate her belief in the most basic doctrine of Christianity, namely the deity of Christ.

Before we proceed to examine her words in detail, we need to consider the attitude generally of the British aristocracy to the person of Christ. As we have seen, this has been shaped in the main by Freemasonry, gnosticism, and unitarian theology, all of which deny his deity, his substitutionary atonement, and the inerrancy of the Bible. They regard him merely as a good man who had a talent for healing and preaching.


The Unitarian/Masonic Christ is very similar to the New Age Christ, a guru-type figure possessing a more elevated or spiritual state of consciousness than the average man.

For centuries Freemasons have stealthily but aggressively promoted a humanistic message which they express as two principles with universal application – *the brotherhood of man* and *the fatherhood of God*. By this they mean that all men, regardless of their religious affiliation, are of equal spiritual standing, both in relation to each other and in relation to God. As it happens these same beliefs also figure prominently in the New Age movement.

Taken together these principles are proclaiming that the Bible is wrong when it makes an absolute distinction between believers and unbelievers, between those who are saved and those who are lost. Freemasonry and the New Age movement completely reject original sin, the fallen state of man, and the need for redemption through the saving blood of Christ. In their view, man is not lost but continually evolving through experience into a higher spiritual state.



**The Duke of Kent,
Grand Master of the
Freemasons of England
and Wales since 1967.**



**Note the Masonic apron, which is always made of lamb's skin,
a blasphemous parody of the Lamb (Christ).**

The Queen's definition of Christ

From a study of her Christmas Messages we find that the Queen's portrayal of Christ differs little, if at all, from the Masonic/New Age Christ, namely, a holy man – and nothing but a man – who tried to teach the people of his time the importance of kindness and tolerance, that we are all one human family, and that we should live together in peace and harmony. This definition places him on a par with men like Mahatma Gandhi and Albert Schweitzer, Bishop Tutu and the Dalai Lama. And as flattering as this might seem to the average atheist or humanist, it is an appalling distortion of reality.

The first point to note is that, although she was (and still is) the official head of the Established church in England, the Queen made **no** reference whatever to Christ by name in her annual Christmas Messages during the first 20 years of her reign! Not one.

Why not search the file yourself to confirm this? A complete compilation of the Queen's Christmas messages may be found at:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/93046264/Christmas-Messages-by-Queen-Elizabeth-II>.

The same material is also available in serial form on her official website: <http://www.royal.gov.uk/>

Perhaps her advisors realized that such an attitude was bound eventually to attract adverse attention. In any event, she finally made her first reference in 1973:

“Christ taught love and charity and that we should show humanity and compassion at all times and in all situations.”

When read in context, this otherwise innocent statement can be seen to sit neatly with the Masonic *brotherhood-of-man* philosophy:

“I believe that Christmas should remind us that the qualities of the human spirit are more important than material gain. *Christ taught love and charity and that we should show humanity and compassion at all times and in all situations.*


“A lack of humanity and compassion can be very destructive – how easily this causes divisions within nations and between nations. We should remember instead how much we have in common and resolve to give expression to the best of our human qualities, not only at Christmas, but right through the year.” (1973)

Two years later she made her second reference to Christ, but this time she didn't even bother to refer to him by name:

“We are celebrating a birthday – the birthday of a child born nearly 2,000 years ago, who grew up and lived for only about 30 years. That one person, by his example and by his revelation of the good which is in us all, has made an enormous difference to the lives of people who have come to understand his teaching.” (1975)

Note that the teaching of Christ is described as “his revelation of the good which is in us all”. But this is completely false! The Bible teaches the very opposite. Christ came to save man from his wretched, fallen state and not to reveal “the good that is in us all” – since the Bible clearly teaches that there is none!

It is ironic that, in the same breath, she refers to people “who have come to understand his teaching”, and yet she doesn’t seem to understand it herself.



Both through his words and through his actions, Christ confirmed the basic message that runs through the entire Bible, namely, that man in his natural state is a fallen, sin-filled being, utterly condemned by God, and worthy only of eternal damnation.

So which philosophy is the Queen actually citing, that of the Bible or that of Freemasonry?

Two years later she made another brief reference to the person whom her words were meant to honour. This too was in the ‘holy man’ mould:

“Christians have the compelling example of the life and teaching of Christ and, for myself, I would like nothing more than that my grandchildren should hold dear his ideals which have helped and inspired so many previous generations.” (1977)

Note that it is his ideals that she holds dear – not his deity, his resurrection, or his redemption of mankind.

The Masonic Parliament of Man

Following a brief reference to “the birth of the child who transformed history and gave us a great faith” (1979), she quoted liberally in 1980 from a peculiar poem by Tennyson which referred to “the” Christ. This is highly suggestive since Tennyson was also the author of a poem, *Locksley Hall*, which was well known (and well understood) by the luminaries of Victorian England. They knew that Tennyson rejected Christianity and drew his inspiration exclusively from pagan authors. These included the pantheist, Baruch Spinoza, and the eminent occult philosopher, Giordano Bruno. He was also a member of an exclusive secret society at Cambridge, known as the *Cambridge Apostles*, which was audaciously non-Christian in outlook.

Locksley Hall contains one of the most celebrated statements of the Masonic vision of a New World Order:

*For I dipt into the future, far as human eye could see,
Saw the Vision of the world, and all the wonder that would be; ...
Heard the heavens fill with shouting, and there rain'd a ghastly dew
From the nations' airy navies grappling in the central blue; ...
Till the war-drum throb'd no longer, and the battle-flags were furl'd
In the Parliament of man, the Federation of the world.*

He envisaged a parliament of man, a federation of the world. These words have been quoted many times by top Freemasons and key players in the long-range plan to create a unified World Government, *a parliament of man*.

Tennyson's poem was greatly admired by leading architects of the New World Order, Winston Churchill and Harry Truman, while Andrew Carnegie, one of the richest men in America in the 19th century and a high-ranking member of the Ruling Elite, wrote in *Triumphant Democracy* (1893): “The Parliament of Man and the Federation of the World have already been hailed by the poet, and these mean a step much farther in advance of the proposed reunion of Britain and America.”

Note his final words – *a step much farther in advance of the proposed reunion of Britain and America*. Reunion of Britain and America? Yes, and more, as Carnegie says, *a step much farther in advance* of that.

So when a reigning British monarch quotes a poem by Tennyson, we should pay close attention. Here are the verses she quoted (from *Ring Out, Wild Bells*):

"Ring out false pride in place and blood,
The civic slander and the spite;
Ring in the love of truth and right,
Ring in the common love of good ...
Ring in the valiant man and free,
The larger heart, the kindlier hand,
Ring out the darkness of the land,
Ring in the Christ that is to be."

Given that Tennyson had no regard for Christianity, the Christ figure to whom he refers is clearly not Biblical. Christians seldom, if ever, put a definite article before *Christ* because there is only one Christ, but Freemasons, gnostics and pagans often refer to ‘the Christ’ since they regard it as a cosmic or celestial office separate from the one who holds it.

In their occult philosophy, the Freemasons envisage the future incarnation in human form of their Angel of Light – known variously as Apollo, Horus, Helios, Lucifer, etc – whom they will enthrone and honour as their Messiah in their long-planned federation of the world. This is “the Christ that is to be” in Tennyson’s poem, though born-again Christians will recognize him as the Antichrist.

Did the Queen realize the significance of this? Given that the royal family has been a patron and protector of Freemasonry in Britain for generations, it would be astonishing if she didn’t. Her consistent espousal of the *brotherhood-of-man-fatherhood-of-god* philosophy demonstrates her familiarity with the tenets of Freemasonry, which includes a belief in the arrival of a Messiah-type figure once the New World Order has been established. (This is the true meaning of the catchphrase, ‘If you build it, he will come,’ from the sugar-coated occult movie, *Fields of Dreams*.)

The Masonic Trivialization of Christianity

Her Christmas Message the following year, 1981, included the following:

“Our Christian faith helps us to sustain those convictions. Christ not only revealed to us the truth in his teachings. He lived by what he believed and gave us the strength to try to do the same – and, finally, on the cross, he showed the supreme example of physical and moral courage. That sacrifice was the dawn of Christianity and this is why at Christmas time we are inspired by the example of Christ as we celebrate his birth.” (1981)

Even though she takes a seemingly bold step and mentions the cross, it is immediately neutralised by two references to Christ as an ‘example’. According to Masonic philosophy, the Cross of Calvary achieved nothing. As the Queen says, it was just an example of exceptional moral courage and something that should inspire us.

This is a patent trivialization of Christianity, where the whole basis of our faith is reduced to a courageous symbolic act, an inspiring example, but certainly not the atoning work of the incarnate Son of God for the sins of mankind.

The following year, Her Majesty took the opportunity to inject even more Masonic philosophy into her Message. In three short paragraphs she managed to depict Christianity as just one of many “religious groups” in the world today and to equate the Christian message with “the Commonwealth” – meaning the common weal or common good:

“At this time of the year, Christians celebrate the birth of their Saviour, but no longer in an exclusive way. We hope that our greetings at Christmas to all people of religious conviction and goodwill will be received with the same understanding that we try to show in receiving the greetings of other religious groups at their special seasons.

“The poet John Donne said: "No man is an island, entire of itself; every man is a piece of the continent, a part of the main." That is the message of the Commonwealth and it is also the Christian message.

“Christ attached supreme importance to the individual and he amazed the world in which he lived by making it clear that the unfortunate and the underprivileged had an equal place in the Kingdom of Heaven with the rich and powerful. But he also taught that man must do his best to live in harmony with man and to love his neighbours.” (1982)

The *brotherhood-of-man-fatherhood-of-god* philosophy is clearly evident in these paragraphs.

Freemasons and members of the New Age movement are fond of Donne’s little phrase, “No man is an island”. It fits perfectly with the *parliament of man* idea in Freemasonry and the *all is one* principle that features so prominently in the New Age movement.

Note also the careful way she distances herself from Christianity and any suggestion that she might actually be a Christian – “At this time of the year, Christians celebrate the birth of **their** Saviour, but no longer in an exclusive way.”

The latter words, “but no longer in an exclusive way”, are designed to reinforce the idea that truth is not exclusive to Christianity but may be found in many different religious traditions. Again, with shameless candour, a central tenet of Freemasonry is fed to the nation on prime-time television by the official head of the Anglican church.

The common spiritual source of all religious traditions was again emphasized in the year 2000 when she said:

“This spirituality can be seen in the teachings of other great faiths. Of course religion can be divisive, but the Bible, the Koran and the sacred texts of the Jews and Hindus, Buddhists and Sikhs, are all sources of divine inspiration and practical guidance passed down through the generations.” (2000)

If she was really a Christian the Queen would know that the Koran and the religious writings of the Hindus and Buddhists were *not* divinely inspired. She would also know that they *reject* outright the divinity of Christ. So, on a feast day that is supposed to recognize and honour the incarnation of Christ in human form, she boldly asserts the broad equivalence of all religious traditions, including those which reject the deity of Christ.

In an earlier Message (1986), she described Jesus as merely a simple person from a lowly background who managed to affect the course of history:

“Christmas is a festival for all Christians, but it is particularly a festival for children. As we all know, it commemorates the birth of a child, who was born to ordinary people, and who grew up very simply in his own small home town and was trained to be a carpenter.

“His life thus began in humble surroundings, in fact in a stable, but he was to have a profound influence on the course of history, and on the lives of generations of his followers. You don't have to be rich or powerful in order to change things for the better and each of us in our own way can make a contribution.

“The infant Jesus was fortunate in one very important respect. His parents were loving and considerate. They did their utmost to protect him from harm. They left their own home and became refugees, to save him from King Herod, and they brought him up according to the traditions of their faith.” (1986)

His deity is completely erased. Instead we are presented with the image of a little boy who, because he was greatly loved by his mummy and daddy and raised “according to the traditions of their faith”, succeeded in doing something useful with his life.

Note how the Old Testament, the Word of God, is described merely as “the traditions of their faith.”

This humanistic depiction of Christ was repeated in 2001:

“As a boy he learnt his father's trade as a carpenter. He then became a preacher, recruiting twelve supporters to help him...His great emphasis was to give spirituality a practical purpose.” (2001)

So, there it is, the royal description of Jesus – a carpenter-preacher with a talent for highlighting the practical side of religion, a former child refugee who recruited twelve supporters and acquired generations of followers. In short, an unlikely social reformer who managed to make a difference in the lives of ordinary people.

She could hardly have been more patronising without provoking adverse comment. In fact, it is a mark of the shallowness and hypocrisy of Anglican Christianity that such a demeaning portrait of Christ by the nation's monarch could even be televised.

The Good Samaritan

Over a sixty year period the Queen mentioned only *one* episode from the Bible (other than the nativity) in her Christmas Messages, but she did so on three occasions – in 1985, 1989 and 2004. This is the parable by Jesus of the *Good Samaritan* which tells of a traveller who stopped and helped an injured man by the roadside, and even brought him to a hostel to recover, while two respected members of the community passed him by and failed to offer any assistance.

The parable is presented by the Queen as though Jesus was endorsing the *brotherhood-of-man-fatherhood-of-god* philosophy. When taken out of context and given a Masonic slant, it seems to confirm that salvation is contingent upon good works and human conduct. However, a professing Christian with only a rudimentary knowledge of Scripture will know that this interpretation is false. There is nothing man can do of himself to save himself from the devastating consequences of original sin.

The 'love' taught in Freemasonry and the New Age movement is **not** the love we find in the Bible. Scripture teaches – in the strongest possible terms – that God's love cannot touch and redeem man in his sinful, fallen state without the atoning work of Christ, and yet this is precisely what Freemasonry and the New Age movement reject!

The Queen confirmed her Masonic perception of love when she said:

“But these technical skills [*invention, scientific progress*] are not enough by themselves. They can only come to the rescue of the planet if we also learn to live by the golden rule which Jesus Christ taught us – "love thy neighbour as thyself".” (1989)

Here she is discussing environmental issues such as pollution of the rivers and seas. Incredibly, she equates the 'love' needed to 'rescue' the planet with the love that Jesus was speaking about, a love which has no meaning – and no power – when divorced from his divinity and obedience to his Word.

Jesus did **not** teach 'the golden rule' of Freemasonry, as the Queen implies; rather he taught the commandments and precepts of the LORD God of Abraham, Isaac and Israel. These are vastly different from, and in fundamental conflict with, the morals and dogma of Freemasonry, a philosophy that flagrantly rejects the saving blood of Christ and his atoning sacrifice on the cross.

Man as an Animal Species

We are not dealing here with the simplifications that are sometimes necessary when presenting a message to a large and diverse audience. Rather, we are dealing with a very deliberate re-statement of Christianity along Masonic and New Age lines. If you still doubt this, then consider the following comment from the same Christmas Message:

“It's not very difficult to apply that story [*the Good Samaritan*] to our own times and to work out that our neighbours are those of our friends, or complete strangers, who need a helping hand. Do you think they might also be some of the living species threatened by spoiled rivers, or some of the children in places like Ethiopia and Sudan who don't have enough to eat?” (1989)

Prince Philip once stated during a televised documentary that humans are a “plague” on the planet. This implies that humans are just one of the many animal species living on earth today. It is obvious from her remark that his wife subscribes to the same materialistic philosophy. However, true Biblical Christianity **completely rejects** the popular idea that humans are an animal species.

Man is made in the image and likeness of God. He is *not* an animal of any kind, but a unique creation. Animals cannot sin, only man can sin. This is why Freemasonry, the New Age movement, and atheistic humanism subscribe so strongly to the theory of evolution or any similar theory that portrays man as just another animal species. If man is just an animal then he cannot sin, and if he cannot sin then he cannot be judged by God.

I am reminded here of an exhibition in central London in 2009 which was housed in the former church of the Holy Trinity, Marylebone. The event included an unusually blasphemous exhibit as its centrepiece, namely a life-size waxen figure of a gorilla nailed to a large wooden cross. Despite receiving lively coverage in the national media, this obscene effigy – which was described in the press as a ‘crucified ape’ – was not condemned or even criticized by any prominent Church leader in Britain. But should we be surprised? After all, the Masons, atheists and evolutionists who control the Anglican Church regard man as just another animal species.

A Masonic restatement of the Christian Message

One of her most Masonic, New Age and ecumenical renderings of the Christian message was given in 2001 when she quoted the words of a well-known hymn, but failed to include the most important part:

“I believe that the Christian message, in the words of a familiar blessing, remains profoundly important to us all:

Go forth into the world in peace,
be of good courage,
hold fast that which is good,
render to no man evil for evil,
strengthen the faint-hearted,
support the weak,
help the afflicted,
honour all men.”

These words are from a benediction in the 1928 version of the Anglican *Book of Common Prayer*. While they are certainly edifying, they cannot be considered “the Christian message” in any meaningful sense. So what’s missing?

See for yourself. Here is the complete version:

Go forth into the world in peace. Be of good courage. Hold fast that which is good. Render to no man evil for evil. Strengthen the faint-hearted. Support the weak. Help the afflicted. Honor all men. Love and serve the Lord, rejoicing in the power of the Holy Ghost. And the blessing of God, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, be upon you and remain with you forever. Amen.

Since the British royal family, like their counterparts across Europe, reject the deity of Christ, they also reject the theology of the underlined text. They do not believe in the Triune God of Abraham, Isaac and Israel, but in a different god entirely.

The Anglican benediction has no distinctively Christian element without the words which they Queen chose to omit. Her version does nothing more than encapsulate the interfaith morality and *brotherhood-of-man* philosophy of Freemasonry. The version read on television by the Queen would be perfectly acceptable to a Hindu, Buddhist, or Moslem. Indeed, it would be acceptable even to an atheist or a humanist. So, to portray it as “the Christian message” is incredibly disingenuous, if not deceitful.

She repeated her view that Christianity is in essence an ideology when, the following year, she said:

“Christmas is the anniversary of the birth of Christ over two thousand years ago, but it is much more than that. It is the celebration of the birth of an idea and an ideal.”

This is Masonic to the core. The birth of the Son of God, the Saviour of mankind, is reduced to “an idea and an ideal.”

Marxism is an idea and an ideal. Humanism is an idea and an ideal. And yet the Queen sees fit to place Christianity on the same plane. It beggars belief.

Here are just a few more occasions when she expressed a similar view:

“I wished to speak of it from New Zealand this Christmas Day because we are celebrating the birth of the Prince of Peace, who preached the brotherhood of man.” (1953)

“...the essential message of Christmas is still that we all belong to the great brotherhood of man. This idea is not limited to the Christian faith. Philosophers and prophets have concluded that peace is better than war, love is better than hate and that mankind can only find progress in friendship and co-operation.” (1968)

“The scriptures and traditions of the other faiths enshrine the same fundamental guidance. It is very easy to concentrate on the differences between the religious faiths and to forget what they have in common – people of different faiths are bound together by the need to help the younger generation to become considerate and active citizens.” (2006)

“Perhaps it was because of this early experience that, throughout his ministry, Jesus of Nazareth reached out and made friends with people whom others ignored or despised. It was in this way that he proclaimed his belief that, in the end, we are all brothers and sisters in one human family.” (2007)

In *The Lost Keys of Freemasonry*, Manley Palmer Hall, who is widely respected by Freemasons as one of their supreme authorities, stated:

The true Mason is not creed-bound. He realizes with the divine illumination of his lodge that as a Mason his religion must be universal: Christ, Buddha or Mohammed, the name means little, for he recognizes only the light and not the bearer. He worships at every shrine, bows before every altar, whether in temple, mosque or cathedral, realizing with his truer understanding the oneness of all spiritual truth.

Masons **reject** Christ as the way. As Hall says, “the name means little.”

However, as every true Christian knows, this is one of Satan’s greatest lies. The Word of God tells us that salvation is found *only* in the name of Jesus Christ: “And this is His commandment: that we should believe on the name of His Son Jesus Christ...” (1 John 3:23); “...for there is no other name under heaven given among men by which we must be saved.” (Acts 4:12)

Here is how the Grand Lodge of Louisiana stated the Masonic position in their secret handbook:

To the altar of Freemasonry all men bring their most votive offerings. Around it all men, whether they have received their teachings from Confucius, Zoroaster, Moses, Mohammed or the founder of the Christian religion – just so long as they believe in the universality of the fatherhood of God and universality of the brotherhood of man – meet upon a common level. The Jew returns to his synagogue, the Mohammedan to his mosque and the Christian to his temple – each better prepared for the solemn duties of life by the associations in this universal brotherhood. - *Louisiana Monitor*, 1980 [*Emphasis added*]

So, under the pretense that she is a Christian, the Queen is preaching the philosophy of Freemasonry. The fact that her messages are couched in Christian terminology is irrelevant. Freemasonry does this all the time, packaging and disguising its ancient occult beliefs in a deceptive, vernacular form.

Conclusion

Christians need to understand that the people who rule this world are NOT Christian – though they often pretend to be. They worship another god and loath Christianity, Christ, the Cross of Calvary, the blood of the Lamb, the Resurrection, and the LORD God of Abraham, Isaac and Israel.

They are busily preparing the scenario set out in the Book of Revelation, where their ‘messiah’ or godman takes on human form and rules over the entire earth. In order to make this possible they are working hard behind the scenes to create a unified global system of governance (‘If you build it, he will come’).

Since their messiah has no power against Christ, they are also determined to radically reduce the number of true believers, chiefly by getting professing Christians to adopt a soft, New Age version of ‘Christianity’ which has no power to save. They have made remarkable progress in this regard, to the point where the vast majority of professing Christians today do not believe the Word of God as Christ directed – completely, entirely, literally, and with unwavering faith.

As evidence of this just consider the number of false beliefs that now infect the various denominations of professing Christianity:

- the church has replaced Israel in God’s covenant promises;
- the Book of Revelation is allegorical only, with no literal validity;
- life on earth evolved from primitive micro-organisms;
- man is an animal species;
- the universe is millions of years old;
- Adam and Eve were not actual people, created in adult form;
- the Flood was a local event with limited effects;
- all people will be saved in due course;
- intelligent life exists in other galaxies;
- the world is already in the Millennium;
- demons and adversarial spirits are imaginary;
- the Holy Spirit is a force or a divine energy;
- sin is simply a product of moral immaturity;
- good and evil are relative to cultural and other conditions;
- the Bible is not completely without error;
- the Bible is not the actual, verbally inspired, word of God;
- fresh revelation is still being given to mankind;
- it is alright to pray to the Queen of Heaven;
- it is alright to pray to and for the dead;
- Christ is bodily present in a communion wafer;
- Christ was created by God the Father;
- other religions, not based on the Bible, have some degree of truth;
- contemplation, meditation and yoga are acceptable forms of prayer;
- the lake of fire is not an actual place and will not endure forever.

[Note: This list is *far* from complete.]

Every one of these beliefs conflicts with God's Holy Word. And since the vast majority of professing Christians accept two or three of them (at least), one can be sure that the actual number of born-again Christians in the world today – those who are completely faithful to the Word of God – is frighteningly small.

The Power Elite controls much of Europe and North America through Freemasonry. However, many national and world leaders pretend to be Christian in order to retain the confidence of the public. Virtually every President of the United States during the past hundred years or so was a high-ranking Freemason or a member of a secret occult society. For example, both George Bush senior and George Bush junior pretended to be Christians when in fact they were initiated members of the Society of the Skull & Bones, a dark Luciferian fraternity based at Yale University.

The god of Freemasonry is Lucifer, the Light Bearer, the beguiling 'angel of light' face that Satan presents to his acolytes. The British like to refer to him as Helios or Apollo, the sun god of Greek mythology.

		
<p>-Sun God- Helios Apollo</p>		
<p>Note the lamb skin apron worn by the Grand Master, the Duke of Kent. It depicts the Masonic sun god, sometimes called Helios.</p>		

The Queen of England may or may not adhere to all of the beliefs and doctrines of Freemasonry, but her Christmas Messages are profoundly Masonic. She clearly rejects the deity of Christ and cannot be regarded as a Christian. In reality, she is a senior member of a pagan ruling caste that is gradually bringing the world ever closer to a One World Government and a One World Religion.

Jeremy James
Ireland
9 May 2012

**For more information about the organized attack
by the Ruling Elite on biblical Christianity visit
www.zephaniah.eu**

Copyright 2012